County Executive Office

April 20, 2016

Honorable Charles Margines Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California 700 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

Subject: Updated Combined Follow-Up Response to FY 2014-15 Grand Jury Reports

Dear Judge Margines:

As a follow-up to Combined Follow-Up Response to FY 2014-15 Grand Jury Reports, the Grand Jury requested a meeting to discuss the report on April 5, 2016. At that meeting, the Grand Jury requested additional detail on several of the responses which we have provided in the updated document. The updates are noted with an asterisk and italics.

If you have any questions, please contact Jessica Witt of the County Executive Office at 714-834-7250.

Sincerely,

Frank Kim

County Executive Officer

Enclosure

cc: Members, Orange County Board of Supervisors
FY 2014-15 Orange County Grand Jury Foreman
FY 2015-16 Orange County Grand Jury Foreman

Mark Denny, Chief Operating Officer, County Executive Office
Jessica Witt, Deputy Chief Operating Officer, County Executive Office



#### RECOMMENDATIONS AND RESPONSES:

GJ Report	Recommendation	Response	Follow up Response
"AB109 Offenders: Are Current Probation Strategies Effective?"	R.1. Standards and guidelines for AB109 offender supervision, such as number of contacts, home visits, drug tests, and collateral contacts based on the risk-needs assessment should be included in the Orange County Probation Department's Policy and Procedures (F.1., F.2.)	will be implemented in the future.	The recommendation has not yet been implemented; however, Probation has taken steps to implement the recommendation in the near future.  The Probation Department convened a number of working groups and developed a "draft" set of standards and guidelines of supervision for both juvenile and adult offenders. Probation will be working with labor to implement the new supervision strategies.  *Probation provided an update that the project is estimated to be completed by December 2017.
"Annual Inquiry on Jails and Juvenile Detention Facilities"	should serve multiple purposes, including a gymnasium, capability for vocational training, and a visitation center. (F.6.)	will be implemented in the future.  Probation will be collaborating with external partners including, but not limited to the Board of Supervisors, the Juvenile Court, the Health Care Agency and the Department of Education to ensure the new facility will be used as a visiting center, exercise facility and training area that will assist youth in transitioning back into the community. After	The recommendation has not yet been implemented; however, key project timelines related to the building construction have been met.  The new facility's architect, Lionakis, has been selected. The progress of the project, including the schematic design phase, will be reviewed with the State Public Works Board on or about May 13, 2016. Project completion is still estimated to be within three years.  *Probation provided an update that the meeting with the State Public Works Board will now take place on June 10, 2016. Project completion is still estimated to be November 2018.



GJ Report	Recommendation	Response	Follow up Response
"Annual Inquiry on Jails and Juvenile Detention Facilities"	Recommendation R.7. Upgrade the video surveillance system in all of the juvenile facilities by installing modern equipment and increase retention capacity to one year. (F.9.)	The response has been bifurcated to address individual juvenile facilities.  Part A: Juvenile Hall and Youth Leadership Academy (YLA) The recommendation has not been implemented but will be implemented in the future.  There is an ongoing project with OC Public Works to upgrade the surveillance equipment and archiving capacity for Juvenile Hall and the Youth Leadership Academy (YLA). The project is comprised of two phases. Phase I includes the infrastructure required for the video surveillance system upgrade and is estimated to be completed by the end of 2016. The sehedule for Phase II, which includes installation of the equipment and related software, will be developed at the beginning of FY 2016-17. The overall project is estimated to be completed by fall 2017. Retention policies are consistent with	The recommendation has not yet been implemented; however, Probation has taken steps to implement the recommendation in the near future.  Part A: OC Public Works is currently leading a project to upgrade the surveillance equipment and archiving capacity for Juvenile Hall and the Youth Leadership Academy (YLA). The project is comprised of two phases. Phase I is estimated to be completed before the end of calendar year 2016. Phase II is still planned to take place during the beginning on FY 2016-17. The overall project is planned to be completed in the fall of 2017.
"Child Abuse Hotline: Unanswered Cries for Help"	The Child Abuse Registry should examine the feasibility of utilizing an abbreviated report for	will be implemented in the future.  CAR management will convene a workgroup with CAR staff to examine the feasibility of using an abbreviated report for mandated Information Only	The workgroup was convened and the following changes have been implemented:  1) The Fact Sheet Document is no longer required on these reports.  2) Three areas of possible sources of Information Only reports were no longer required to be taken as they were either those that had insufficient information or clients not identifiable; those that are eligible for service and are under the authority of another legal entity, and those in which the concern reported occurred in another County and is not defined as abuse.  3) Those Information Only Reports that are identified as concerns and received consultation with a supervisor are closed out without further supervisory involvement.  4) For further efficiency for hotline staff to respond to the next caller, summarizing CWS/CMS history on all referrals has been eliminated and replaced with a CWS/CMS generated attached report as necessary.



GJ Report	Recommendation	Response	Follow up Response
County Animal Shelter"	Develop proper protocols for Animal Control Officers to follow when confronted with unique circumstances in the field that require tranquilizing or euthanizing animals and take all measures necessary to ensure that the Animal Control Officers can	will be implemented in the future. Currently, it is not legally permitted for officers to transport controlled substances. OC Animal Care provides 6 months of intensive training to ensure that Animal Control Officers have skills and tools to address a wide variety of urgent and emergent situations that may require euthanizing or tranquilizing animals. Animal Control Officers have been issued the proper equipment to perform their duties consistent with existing protocols.	Any additional updates are pending future action by the State.  *OCCR provided a more detailed update on the State process. Senate Bill 1162, Chapter 594, became effective January 1, 2013. This bill allows animal control officers to carry controlled substances for purposes of tranquilizing animals but imposes certain prerequisite requirements. One of the requirements in the Bill is officers undergo "training approved by the Veterinary Medical Board."  California Veterinary Medical Association (CVMA) proposed a training program in 2014. August, 2015 California Veterinary Medical Board sent the proposed regulations and training to the California Office of Administrative Law. The proposed regulations are going through the rulemaking process at the state level. The County cannot take action on this item until the state regulations are finalized. Orange County is closely tracking the rulemaking process.
Shelter"	Conduct an evaluation of the Feral Free Program to determine its effectiveness in the reduction of zoonotic diseases. (F.6.).	will be implemented in the future.  The recommendation has not yet been implemented but will be implemented in the future. OC Animal	*OCCR has provided additional detail that evaluation of the data is planned to take place at the end of the 2020 calendar year.



GJ Report	Recommendation	Response		Follow up Response
"Mello-Roos: Perpetua Debt Accumulation and Tax Assignment Obligation"	Audit report information, as delineated in California Government Code, 1982 § 53343.1, should be made available to the CFD taxpayers on a	will be implemented in the fur as delineated in California Go Section 53343.1 will be made County's website after each fi year 2014-15. The report will	ture. An annual report, wernment Code, 1982 available on the scal year, effective fiscal	The recommendation was implemented by December 29, 2015. The report is located on the County of Orange web site at the following link: FY 2014-15 CFD Annual Report - http://ocgov.com/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?blobid=49731
"Orange County Real	each CFD number. (F.1, F.2)	December 29, 2015.  The recommendation has not	been implemented but	A final report from the County's contracted specialist, hired to
		will be implemented in the fu This recommendation is in pr	ture.	review and verify County real property inventory details, is anticipated to be received during the first week of February, 2016.
:	improve the stewardship of County real estate. (F.2., F.3., F.4.)	implemented. Various real es including CRED, are updated certain of the recommended of County has entered into a con-	annually and include data elements. The	A Request for Proposals was released on December 28, 2015, for the purpose of identifying a comprehensive County-wide real estate CMMS system. Responses are required by February 25, 2016. Responses will be evaluated and a CMMS system will be selected at
		It is anticipated that this proje	ect will be complete	the conclusion of the evaluation process.
		within the current fiscal year. County has initiated procuren comprehensive County-wide system. Plans for the CMMS recommended data elements	nent efforts to acquire a real estate CMMS system include all	*CEO Real Estate provided the following update. The County's contracted specialist provided a draft database of County owned parcels during February, 2016. CEO Real Estate is reviewing the draft data base for finalization during April, 2016.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Preliminary project plans anti- solicitation for proposals duri	cipate the release of a ng the third quarter of a CMMS system during	Six responses to the CMMS RFP were received on March 8, 2016. A proposal evaluation team will meet on April 21, 2016, to rate proposals. Software demonstrations will be scheduled with the highest rated firms. It is anticipated that the evaluation process will be completed and a CMMS system will be selected during May, 2016.



# Follow up Responses to Recommendations 2014-15 Grand Jury Reports

Updated April 20, 2016

GJ Report	Recommendation	Response	Follow up Response
	[R.2.	The recommendation has not been implemented but	The report from the County's contracted specialist, hired to review
	The County should establish a	will be implemented in the future.	and verify County real property inventory details, is anticipated
What They Have?"	person or position to be		during the first week of February, 2016, and will be utilized to verify
		This recommendation is in process of being fully	the accuracy of the real estate database.
•	accuracy of the real estate	implemented. CRED is updated and reviewed	
	database. The County should also	annually by a designated member of CEO Real	A comprehensive County-wide real estate CMMS system is targeted
	consider the feasibility of		for procurement during the current fiscal year. It is anticipated that
	performing an annual inventory	for the purpose of verifying the accuracy of database	the CMMS system will include real estate report capabilities,
	of the County's real estate to help	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	including inventory verification functionality.
-	to ensure the information in the	complete within the current fiscal year. Additionally,	
	database is accurate. (F.3., F.4.)	the County has initiated procurement efforts to	*CEO Real Estate provided the following update. The County's contracted
		acquire a comprehensive County-wide real estate	specialist provided a draft database of County owned parcels during February,
		CMMS system. Preliminary project plans include the	2016. CEO Real Estate is reviewing the draft data base for finalization
		release of a solicitation for proposals during the third	during April, 2016.
		quarter of 2015 and the procurement of a CMMS	
		system during the first quarter of 2016.	Six responses to the CMMS RFP were received on March 8, 2016. A
•			proposal evaluation team will meet on April 21, 2016, to rate proposals.
			Software demonstrations will be scheduled with the highest rated firms. It is
			anticipated that the evaluation process will be completed and a CMMS system
		: :	will be selected during May, 2016.
		Fig.	
"Orange County Real		The recommendation has not been implemented but	The real estate inventory verification report is anticipated to be
	The County should establish a	will be implemented in the future.	received during the first week of February, 2016.
What They Have?"	timeline with realistic deadlines	•	
		This recommendation is in process of being fully	The solicitation for proposals for a County-wide CMMS system is
•	populate a comprehensive real	implemented. It is anticipated that the County's	underway, with proposals due by February 25, 2016.
	estate database. This timeline	current contract for the purpose of verifying County	
•	should include target completion	ownership interests in various County real estate	The CMMS proposal evaluation process is expected to be
	dates for major stages of the		completed, resulting in the selection of a CMMS system for
•	project. (F.1.)	within the current fiscal year. Activities toward the	procurement, during the current fiscal year.
		procurement of a comprehensive County-wide real	
		estate CMMS system have been initiated. Preliminary	*CEO Real Estate provided the following update. Six responses to the CMMS
		project plans include the release of a solicitation for	RFP were received on March 8, 2016. A proposal evaluation team will meet
		proposals during the third quarter of 2015 and the	on April 21, 2016, to rate proposals. Software demonstrations will be
•		procurement of a CMMS system during the first	scheduled with the highest rated firms. It is anticipated that the evaluation
		quarter of 2016.	process will be completed and a CMMS system will be selected during May,
			2016. It is anticipated that a contract for the selected CMMS system will be
		ı	issued during the current fiscal year.
	The state of the s	Control of the contro	See a special control of the special control



# Follow up Responses to Recommendations 2014-15 Grand Jury Reports

Updated April 20, 2016

GJ Report	Recommendation	Response	Follow up Response
"Orange County Sheriff Medical Insurance: County Failures in Negotiation, Documentation, Oversight, and Transparency"	anti-fraud program, accounting policies and procedures manuals, and a disaster recovery plan are developed, implemented, and	The recommendation requires further analysis. The County reviews the annual audit reports and findings related to the Trust and has worked with AOCDS regarding compliance with the Trust elements currently identified in the MOU. The County cannot unilaterally implement such a change without first negotiating with AOCDS. The current contract with AOCDS expires in June 2016 and successor negotiations will occur in approximately March 2016.	This recommendation will be included in the list of items to be discussed during successor negotiations which are still scheduled to begin approximately March 2016.  *HRS provided the following update. Preparations are underway and negotiations are expected to commence in late May 2016.
"Orange County Sheriff Medical Insurance: County Failures in Negotiation, Documentation, Oversight, and Transparency"	R.4. The County should require the County and the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriffs Trust to have each of the three-candidate auditor firms sign a firm commitment that the nominees meet specified qualifications, want the business, and will do the business, if selected. (F.4.)	The recommendation has not yet been implemented but will be implemented in the future.  On July 23, 2015 the County instructed AOCDS to have each of the three candidate auditor firms sign a firm commitment that they meet the qualifications, want the business, and will do the business if selected for the 2014/2015 Fiscal Year Trust Audit.	The FY 2014/2015 audit is currently underway. This recommendation will continue to be implemented as part of ongoing contracting procedures along with the other MOU requirements for the AOCDS Trust Audit.  *HRS provided the following update. The FY 2014/2015 audit work has been completed by the auditor and the draft audit report was submitted to the County for review and comment on March 4, 2016. The County is currently analyzing the financial and audit statements and preparing it's response. This recommendation will continue to be implemented as part of ongoing contracting procedures along with the other MOU requirements for the AOCDS Trust Audit.
Insurance: County	R.5. If the County is convinced that Sheriff retirees should not be benefiting from monthly County contributions to the Trust, the County should seek reimbursement from the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriffs Trust for funds that the County believes are inappropriately used, e.g., Trust funds used to subsidize retirees medical insurance premiums. (F.5)	The Trust is comprised of contributions from both the County and eligible employees. It is not clear that AOCDS retirees are benefiting from County contributions to the Trust. The County will pursue greater transparency into the contributions and uses of the Trust as part of negotiations for a successor MOU in approximately March 2016.	The County will pursue greater transparency into the contributions and uses of the trust during successor negotiations which are still scheduled to begin approximately March 2016.  *HRS provided the following update. Preparations are underway and negotiations are expected to commence in late May 2016.



GI Report Recommendation. Response Follow up Response "Orange County R.6. After receiving direction from the CEO, HRS/Employee Benefits The recommendation requires further analysis. Sheriff Medical The County should seek returned to County Counsel and outside consultants to draft a letter reimbursement from the Insurance: County There is confirmation that AOCDS employees are to outline these concerns to AOCDS and direct them to transition Failures in Negotiation, Association of Orange County receiving health care coverage through the AOCDS these employees into alternative coverage or assume the necessary Documentation. Deputy Sheriffs Trust for funds Trust health plans; however, the County is unable to ACA reporting responsibility. The County sent a letter to AOCDS Oversight, and that the County believes are determine if Trust funds were used to pay for the on 12/31/2015 requesting documentation that no County funds are Transparency" inappropriately used, e.g., Trust coverage. On June 15, 2015 Human Resource being used to pay for AOCDS employee's premiums and requesting funds used to pay for Association Services (HRS) formally requested that AOCDS that AOCDS provide the County with the timing and plan for of Orange County Deputy provide detailed information pertaining to its transitioning it's employees out of the Trust health plan. On Sheriffs employees' medical employees enrolled in the Trust health plans. During 1/29/2016 the County received a written response from AOCDS insurance. (F.6) a meeting with HRS on July 23, 2015 AOCDS with a letter from the Trust auditor and additional information to verbally identified that they have seven employees review and analyze from AOCDS regarding continued enrollment and four retirees enrolled but stated that AOCDS is of their employees in the AOCDS Trust plans. The County is paying 100% of the premium and the coverage is not currently reviewing the AOCDS response. being paid for by the Trust. AOCDS does not agree that these employees are incligible for coverage under \*HRS provided the following update. The County responded to AOCDS with the Trust plans and has requested the County identify a letter on March 17, 2016, and continues to meet with AOCDS to discuss a list of concerns related to this practice so the and analyze options for resolving this issue. AOCDS Board may consider the issue. The results and recommendations will be reported to the CEO no later than December 2015. "Orange County R.7. The recommendation has not yet been implemented This recommendation will be included in the list of items to be Sheriff Medical The County should seek to but will be implemented in the future. discussed during successor negotiations which are still scheduled to Insurance: County include terms in the next Orange begin approximately March 2016. Failures in Negotiation, County and Association of The County will seek to include as part of the Documentation, Orange County Deputy Sheriffs negotiations for a successor MOU terms that require \*HRS provided the following update. Preparations are underway and Oversight, and Memorandum of Understanding the AOCDS Trust have specific additional negotiations are expected to commence in late May 2016. Transparency" that require that the Association appropriate and necessary controls in place and that of Orange County Deputy the Trust fully implement and maintain the MOU Sheriffs Trust have specific controls the Trust currently has. The current contract additional appropriate and with AOCDS expires in June 2016. necessary controls in place, and require that the Trust fully implement and maintain the Memorandum of Understanding controls the Trust currently has. (F.7.)



GJ Report	Recommendation	Response	Follow up Response
"Orange County Sheriff Medical Insurance: County Failures in Negotiation, Documentation, Oversight, and Transparency"	The County should seek to include provisions in the next Orange County and Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriffs Memorandum of Understanding, requiring that Administrative Fees Assessments and Reserve Studies be performed	Recent contracts with AOCDS have been for a period of three years or less. Further analysis is required to understand the industry standards on how often such assessments should take place and the value of obtaining the information more frequently vs. the associated time/cost factor. The analysis and resulting recommendations will be reported to the CEO no later than December 2015.	HRS/Employee Benefits has reviewed this issue with the County's benefits consultant, Mercer. Mercer has advised the County that a reserve study should be done once during the term of the contract; however, administrative fees should be reviewed annually to determine if they are reasonable and follow the prior methodology. The methodology should be reviewed once during the contract term to determine if the methodologies are still appropriate or need to be changed. Mercer also indicated that a Trust may also do reserve studies for themselves and may do it as frequently as every year. HRS/Employee Benefits will provide this updated information to HRS/Labor Relations in preparation for the upcoming negotiations with AOCDS, so applicable changes to ensure timely studies may be incorporated into the MOU.  **HRS provided the following update. Preparations are underway and negotiations are expected to commence in late May 2016.
Sheriff Medical Insurance: County	The County, at the conclusion of the term of the current Memorandum of Understanding, should seriously consider discontinuing its agreement with the Association of Orange County Deputy Sheriffs and instead take back its rightful responsibility for administering the medical	County Budget Office, and the County Executive Office will analyze the feasibility and impact of administering the medical program for AOCDS members. Areas to be considered include, but are not limited to, impacts to County budget, actuarial liability, and liability under the Affordable Care Act. The analysis and resulting recommendations will be reported to the CEO no later than December 2015.	County staff from Human Resource Services, the County Budget Office, and the County Executive Office met with the County's Benefits Consultant, Mercer to discuss the feasibility and impact of the County administering the medical program for AOCDS members through the County's current plans. Areas considered included the impacts to County budget, actuarial liability, and liability under the Affordable Care Act. It was determined that there could be a cost impact to the employees and retirees represented by AOCDS that could require the County to provide significant offset in salaries or other benefits as part of the negotiations process. The possibility of incorporating AOCDS employees and retirees back into County plans will be further explored during the upcoming negotiations after seeking input from the Board of Supervisors.  **HRS provided the following update. Preparations are underway and negotiations are expected to commence in late May 2016.



GJ Report	Recommendation	Response	Follow up Response
"The Orange County Animal Shelter: The Facility, The Function, The Future"	R. 2. The Orange County Board of Supervisors should investigate and analyze the advisability and	The recommendation requires further analysis.  Up to this point in time, the contract cities have not expressed a desire to move forward with additional regional shelters located throughout the County. The	This recommendation is still in process. OC Animal Care is actively pursuing regional adoption centers that would be able to assist OC Animal Care if the shelter is damaged in a disaster. OCAC plans to issue a Request for Information later this Spring for Adoption
	feasibility of selecting two or three sites for construction of animal care shelters to provide services accessible to all parts of the County. (F-4)	additional costs and limited land availability have	Centers throughout the County with the intent to have a contract in place by the start of the new fiscal year on July 1, 2016. The RFI will be with private or non-profit entities that will take animals from the Shelter and place them up for adoption.
		radically alter the cost of operations. Consequently, the general agreement has been to focus on construction of a new Shelter at the Tustin site.	*OCCR provided the following update. An RFI has been drafted and is currently under review. It is intended to be released by June, 2016.
			·